



April 14, 2004

Federal Trade Commission  
CAN-SPAM Act  
Post Office Box 1030  
Merrifield, VA  
22116-1030

Dear Federal Trade Commission,

I applaud your efforts to curb the problem of unsolicited bulk email. However, I am concerned about the proposed requirement for merchants to maintain suppression lists. Let us not make this legislation similar to the "Leave No Child Behind" act, i.e., you will be affecting real people who have very legitimate reasons for using email, and all of these people are different, with different types of businesses, and should not be treated with a BLANKET, discriminatory policy.

There are so many problems and costs associated with this idea, and so much damage done to consumers and businesses alike, that I feel I must urge you to consider this matter most carefully.

Requirement of the use of suppression lists will seriously damage many of the legitimate publications available on the net. My specific concern is for harm to publishers who require permission from the consumer prior to adding them to any list.

They're not who CAN-SPAM was designed to put out of business, but this requirement will very likely have that effect.

There's also the potential for significant harm to consumers, because of the problem of properly knowing their intent when they unsubscribe from a list. On top of that, these suppression lists could easily fall into the hands of spammers, leading to more spam instead of less.

I was quite surprised at the potential problems this ruling could involve, and urge you in the strongest possible terms to reconsider its implementation in light of these problems. Go after the people who are actually creating the spam, not hard working Americans trying to make a living.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Peter F. Buechle", written over a horizontal line.

Peter F. Buechle  
New Jersey, United States of America